



Published on *cisTEM* (<https://cistem.org>)

[Home](#) > 2D classification- blank classes

---

## 2D classification- blank classes

Tue, 11/06/2018 - 06:50

#1



ubjath01

## 2D classification- blank classes

Hi,


1. I am new to cisTEM- I was wondering if anyone has any information on why for a 2D classification job I get blank images for 2D classes from iteration 1 onwards (see attached)?


2. Am I correct to say that if you import a 'package' from relion, the star file does not need to have the correct links to particles- as cisTEM works on the order of particles in the large particle stack instead (i.e line 327 would refer to slice 327 in the particle stack etc)?

Cheers,

Joe

**File:**

 [Round0.png](#)

 [Round1\\_onwards.png](#)





timgrant

Hi Joe,

Hi Joe,

Yes, when you import a package it just reads the first line of the star file and uses that info for the first particle in the stack etc.

What are your defocus values? In the Assets->Refnement package, when you click on your imported refinement package and look at the imported defocus values, are they weird? E.g. are they all 0.0, or all very similar?

Cheers,

Tim



Tue, 11/06/2018 - 11:12 (Reply to #2)



ubjath01

## 2D classification- blank classes

Hi Tim,

Thanks for your quick reply.

Defocus, defocus angle and phase shift looks looks fairly normal for phase plate data... see below for first 20 particles.

Clearly something is wrong as there is little change in the parameters from round1 to round 20. Also if I am right that OCC is the class membership in the .par files, then it appears that essentially no classification has occurred.

Cheers,

Joe

ROUND1 (classification\_input\_par\_1.par)

C	PSI	THETA	PHI	SHX	SHY	MAG	FILM	DF1	DF2	ANGAST	PSHIFT	OCC	LogP	SIGMA	SCORE	CHANGE
	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	10.0000	0.00	0.00										
	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0	4687.5	4083.9							



14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	4687.5	4083.9
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	77.1605	0.00	0.00			
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	4687.5	4083.9
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	100.0000	0.00	0.00			
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	4687.5	4083.9
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	100.0000	0.00	0.00			
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	4687.5	4083.9
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	77.1605	0.00	0.00			
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	4687.5	4083.9
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	100.0000	0.00	0.00			
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	4687.5	4083.9
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	100.0000	0.00	0.00			
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	4687.5	4083.9
24.16	1.49	100.00	0	100.0000	0.00	0.00			



Tue, 11/06/2018 - 15:45 (Reply to #3)





niko

Please check that all your

Please check that all your parameters, including pixel size and mask size/particle diameter, are set correctly.

Wed, 11/07/2018 - 07:23 [\(Reply to #4\)](#)



ubjath01

Found the problem

Hi Tim,

I've identified the problem- a symptom of me not paying enough attention- I realise now that it is the mask RADIUS which is required in cisTEM rather than the mask DIAMETER as in relion. The classification is running fine now with a mask not bigger than the box!

Thanks for your help,

Joe

[Log in](#) or [register](#) to post comments

---

**Source URL:** <https://cistem.org/2d-classification-blank-classes?page=0>